

COUNTY GOVERNMENT OF LAIKIPIA



INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY POLICY

By

ICT Directorate 2025 -2030

FOREWORD

The County Government of Laikipia (CGL) recognizes Information and Communication Technology (ICT) as a key driver of efficient service delivery, good governance, and socio-economic development. In an increasingly digital world, governments must adopt modern technologies to enhance transparency, improve access to services, and strengthen accountability to citizens.

This ICT Policy provides a strategic framework to guide the planning, development, management, and use of ICT across all departments and entities within the County Government of Laikipia. It aligns with national development priorities, including the Constitution of Kenya 2010, Kenya Vision 2030, the Digital Economy Blueprint, and relevant sectoral laws.

The successful implementation of this policy will enhance service delivery, improve decision-making, and position Laikipia County as a digitally enabled and citizen-centred government.

H.E JOSHUA IRUNGU
GOVERNOR

DRAFT

PREFACE

The rapid advancement of information and communication technologies presents both opportunities and challenges for public service delivery. The County Government of Laikipia acknowledges that effective use of ICT is essential for improving efficiency, transparency, and responsiveness in public administration.

This policy provides a coordinated framework to guide the development, deployment, and management of ICT resources across the County. It seeks to address existing gaps, strengthen institutional capacity, and ensure that ICT investments are aligned with development priorities.

The policy was developed through consultations with county departments, technical experts, and key stakeholders to ensure it reflects local realities and best practices.

DRAFT

**KOINANGE WAHOME
COUNTY SECRETARY**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The CGL acknowledges the valuable contributions of all stakeholders who participated in the development of this policy. Special appreciation goes to the County Executive Committee, County Assembly, departmental heads, ICT professionals, development partners, and other stakeholders whose insights and technical input shaped this policy.

DRAFT

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CGL – County Government of Laikipia

ICT – Information and Communication Technology

CEC – County Executive Committee

CIDP – County Integrated Development Plan

ICTA – Information and Communications Technology Authority

M&E – Monitoring and Evaluation

PPP – Public Private Partnership

CEC- County Executive Committee

DRAFT

Table of Contents

FOREWORD	2
PREFACE	3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	4
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	5
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	10
1.1 Background Information	10
1.2 Problem Statement	11
1.3 Justification for the Policy	12
1.4 Policy Goals and Objectives	13
1.4.1 Policy Goal	13
1.4.2 Policy Objectives	13
1.5 Scope of the Policy	13
1.6 Structure of the Policy Document	14
CHAPTER TWO: SITUATIONAL ANALYSIS	15
2.1 Introduction	15
2.2 Overview of the Current ICT Environment in Laikipia County	15
2.3 ICT Infrastructure and Connectivity	17
2.3.1 ICT Infrastructure	17
2.3.2 Connectivity and Network Access	17
2.4 ICT Systems and Applications	19
2.5 Human Resource Capacity and Skills	20
2.6 Institutional and Governance Framework	21
2.7 Legal and Policy Environment	22
2.8 Key Challenges and Gaps	23
2.9 Implications for Policy Intervention	24
CHAPTER THREE: PUBLIC POLICY STATEMENTS	25
3.1 Introduction	25
3.2 Policy Statement 1: Strengthening ICT Governance and Leadership	26
Policy Statement	26
Policy Rationale	26
Policy Actions	26
Expected Outcomes	27
3.3 Policy Statement 2: Development of ICT Infrastructure and Connectivity	28
Policy Statement	28

Policy Rationale.....	28
Policy Actions.....	28
Expected Outcomes	28
3.4 Policy Statement 3: Digital Service Delivery and Innovation	29
Policy Statement.....	29
Policy Rationale.....	29
Policy Actions.....	29
Expected Outcomes	29
3.5 Policy Statement 4: Information Security and Data Protection	30
Policy Statement.....	30
Policy Rationale.....	30
Policy Actions.....	30
Expected Outcomes	30
3.6 Policy Statement 5: Capacity Building and Change Management	31
Policy Statement.....	31
Policy Rationale.....	31
Policy Actions.....	31
Expected Outcomes	31
3.7 Policy Statement 6: Financing and Sustainability of ICT Initiatives	32
Policy Statement.....	32
Policy Rationale.....	32
Policy Actions.....	32
Expected Outcomes	32
CHAPTER FOUR: IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK.....	33
4.1 Introduction	33
4.2 Institutional Arrangements for Policy Implementation.....	34
4.2.1 Overall Governance Structure	34
4.2.2 Role of the County ICT Directorate	34
4.2.3 Role of County Departments and Agencies	35
4.2.4 Role of the County Assembly.....	35
4.2.5 Role of Development Partners and the Private Sector	36
4.3 Legal and Regulatory Framework.....	37
4.4 Resource Mobilization and Financing.....	38
4.4.1 Budgetary Allocations	38
4.4.2 Alternative Financing Mechanisms	38

4.5 Capacity Building and Change Management	39
4.6 Risk Management and Business Continuity	40
4.7 Coordination, Communication, and Reporting	41
4.8 Implementation Timeline	42
CHAPTER FIVE: MONITORING AND EVALUATION	43
5.1 Introduction	43
5.2 Objectives of Monitoring and Evaluation	44
5.3 Monitoring Framework	45
5.3.1 Monitoring Indicators	45
5.3.2 Data Collection and Reporting Mechanisms	45
5.4 Evaluation Framework	47
5.4.1 Types of Evaluation.....	47
5.4.2 Evaluation Criteria.....	47
5.5 Roles and Responsibilities in Monitoring and Evaluation	49
5.5.1 County Executive Committee.....	49
5.5.2 ICT Directorate.....	49
5.5.3 County Departments and Agencies	49
5.5.4 External Stakeholders	49
5.6 Reporting and Feedback Mechanisms.....	50
5.7 Learning and Continuous Improvement.....	51
CHAPTER SIX: POLICY REVIEW	52
6.1 Introduction	52
6.2 Rationale for Policy Review	53
6.3 Policy Review Cycle	54
6.3.1 Review Frequency	54
6.4 Policy Review Process	55
6.4.1 Initiation of the Review Process	55
6.4.2 Stakeholder Consultation and Engagement	55
6.4.3 Assessment and Analysis.....	56
6.4.4 Drafting and Validation of Revised Policy.....	56
6.4.5 Approval and Adoption	56
6.5 Implementation of Review Outcomes.....	57
6.6 Monitoring of Policy Review Outcomes.....	58
6.7 Learning and Continuous Improvement.....	59
ANNEXES.....	60

ANNEX I: IMPLEMENTATION MATRIX	60
Key Implementation Areas	60
ANNEX II: INSTITUTIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	64
County Executive Committee.....	64
County ICT Directorate	64
County Departments and Agencies	64
County Assembly	64
Development Partners and Private Sector	64
ANNEX III: MONITORING AND EVALUATION FRAMEWORK	65
Key Performance Areas and Indicators	65
ANNEX IV: GLOSSARY OF TERMS	65

DRAFT

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Information

Information and Communication Technology (ICT) has become a fundamental enabler of modern governance, economic growth, and social development across the world.

Governments increasingly rely on digital technologies to improve efficiency in public administration, enhance transparency and accountability, promote citizen participation, and support inclusive development. The rapid evolution of digital technologies has transformed how governments plan, deliver, and monitor public services.

Globally, ICT has emerged as a key driver of innovation and competitiveness. Countries that have invested in digital infrastructure and e-government platforms have recorded significant improvements in service delivery, cost efficiency, and public trust. Digital transformation has enabled governments to streamline administrative processes, reduce corruption, enhance access to information, and improve responsiveness to citizen needs.

In Kenya, the importance of ICT is firmly anchored in national development frameworks, including the Constitution of Kenya (2010), Kenya Vision 2030, the Digital Economy Blueprint, and the National ICT Policy. These frameworks recognize ICT as a strategic enabler of economic transformation, good governance, and inclusive growth. The Constitution further emphasizes the right of access to information, transparency, and accountability in public administration, all of which are strengthened through effective use of ICT.

At the county level, the County Government of Laikipia has made notable progress in adopting ICT solutions to support governance and service delivery. Various digital systems have been introduced in areas such as revenue collection, human resource management, financial management, procurement, communication, and records management. These initiatives have contributed to improved efficiency, enhanced accountability, and better service delivery in some sectors.

However, the adoption of ICT within the County has often been fragmented, with systems implemented independently by different departments. This has resulted in limited integration, duplication of efforts, and challenges in data sharing and interoperability. In addition, varying

levels of ICT infrastructure and skills across departments have constrained the full realization of the benefits of digital transformation.

The rapid pace of technological change, coupled with growing citizen expectations for efficient, transparent, and accessible services, has increased the urgency for a coordinated and strategic approach to ICT management. A comprehensive ICT policy is therefore essential to provide a clear framework for planning, implementing, and managing ICT initiatives in a coherent and sustainable manner.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite significant investments in ICT over the years, the CGL continues to face a range of challenges that hinder the effective use of technology in service delivery.

One of the key challenges is the absence of a unified and coherent ICT framework to guide planning, implementation, and management of ICT initiatives. Many departments have developed or procured ICT systems independently, resulting in fragmented solutions that do not communicate with one another. This lack of interoperability leads to duplication of data, inefficiencies, and increased operational costs.

Infrastructure-related challenges also persist. Inadequate ICT infrastructure, particularly in rural and remote areas, limits access to digital services for both county staff and residents. In some cases, unreliable internet connectivity and outdated hardware affect system performance and continuity of operations.

Human resource capacity remains another critical challenge. There is a shortage of skilled ICT personnel within the county, and many staff members lack adequate training to effectively use and manage digital systems. This limits the County's ability to fully utilize existing technologies and respond to emerging ICT needs.

Cybersecurity threats and data protection risks further compound these challenges. The absence of comprehensive cybersecurity policies, data protection frameworks, and incident response mechanisms exposes government systems to potential cyberattacks, data loss, and misuse of sensitive information.

Previous ICT interventions have often been implemented on a project-by-project basis, with limited coordination and long-term planning. As a result, some initiatives have failed to achieve sustainability or deliver their intended benefits.

Without a comprehensive ICT policy to provide direction, coordination, and accountability, the County risks continued inefficiencies, increased operational risks, and missed opportunities to leverage technology for improved governance and socio-economic development.

1.3 Justification for the Policy

The development of this ICT Policy is essential to address the challenges identified and to provide a coherent framework for managing ICT resources within the County Government of Laikipia.

First, a clear policy framework is necessary to ensure strategic alignment between ICT initiatives and the County's development priorities. By defining clear goals, principles, and responsibilities, the policy ensures that ICT investments support broader socio-economic objectives.

Second, the policy enhances governance and accountability by establishing clear institutional roles and decision-making structures. This reduces duplication, promotes transparency, and ensures that ICT resources are used efficiently and responsibly.

Third, the policy supports improved service delivery by promoting digital transformation, automation, and integration of systems. This leads to faster, more accessible, and more reliable public services for citizens and businesses.

Fourth, the policy provides a foundation for managing risks associated with technology, including cybersecurity threats, data privacy concerns, and system failures. By establishing standards and safeguards, the County can protect sensitive information and maintain public trust.

Finally, the policy aligns county ICT initiatives with national and international frameworks, ensuring coherence with broader development goals and facilitating collaboration with national agencies, development partners, and the private sector.

1.4 Policy Goals and Objectives

1.4.1 Policy Goal

The overall goal of this policy is to establish a secure, integrated, and sustainable ICT environment that enhances service delivery, strengthens governance, and supports socio-economic development within the CGL.

1.4.2 Policy Objectives

The specific objectives of this policy are to:

1. Strengthen ICT governance structures to ensure effective leadership, coordination, and accountability across all county departments.
2. Enhance the availability, reliability, and accessibility of ICT infrastructure to support efficient service delivery.
3. Promote the use of digital technologies to improve transparency, efficiency, and citizen engagement.
4. Strengthen data management, cybersecurity, and information protection mechanisms.
5. Build ICT capacity and skills among county staff and stakeholders.
6. Encourage innovation and the adoption of emerging technologies in public service delivery.
7. Ensure sustainable financing and efficient management of ICT resources.

1.5 Scope of the Policy

This policy applies to all ICT-related activities within the CGL. It covers:

- All county departments, agencies, and semi-autonomous entities
- All ICT infrastructure, systems, applications, and data resources
- All ICT personnel, consultants, contractors, and service providers

- All ICT-related planning, procurement, implementation, operation, and maintenance activities

The policy also applies to partnerships and collaborations involving ICT services, including public-private partnerships, donor-funded projects, and intergovernmental initiatives.

All county entities are required to comply with the provisions of this policy and integrate its principles into their operations, planning, and decision-making processes.

1.6 Structure of the Policy Document

This policy document is organized into six main chapters as follows:

- **Chapter One:** Introduction: Provides background information, problem statement, justification, policy goals, scope, and structure.
- **Chapter Two:** Situational Analysis: Examines the current ICT environment, institutional arrangements, and challenges.
- **Chapter Three:** Public Policy Statements: Presents government commitments, strategic directions, and policy measures.
- **Chapter Four:** Implementation Framework: Outlines institutional arrangements, coordination mechanisms, and resource requirements.
- **Chapter Five:** Monitoring and Evaluation: Describes performance monitoring, evaluation mechanisms, and reporting processes.
- **Chapter Six:** Policy Review: Explains the review cycle, procedures, and conditions for updating the policy.

CHAPTER TWO: SITUATIONAL ANALYSIS

2.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a comprehensive analysis of the current state of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) within the County Government of Laikipia. The purpose of this situational analysis is to provide an evidence-based understanding of existing ICT systems, institutional arrangements, infrastructure capacity, human resources, and governance mechanisms that influence the effectiveness of ICT service delivery.

The analysis forms the foundation upon which the policy's strategic interventions are built. By examining existing strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges, the County is able to identify gaps that must be addressed to ensure efficient, secure, and sustainable use of ICT in governance and service delivery.

The chapter also provides an assessment of emerging trends in ICT adoption at national and global levels and evaluates how these trends affect the County's ability to deliver services efficiently. This assessment supports informed decision-making and ensures that the proposed policy interventions are relevant, realistic, and responsive to both current and future needs.

2.2 Overview of the Current ICT Environment in Laikipia County

Over the past decade, the County Government of Laikipia has made deliberate efforts to integrate ICT into its operations as part of broader public sector reforms. These efforts have been aimed at improving service delivery, enhancing transparency, and strengthening accountability.

Several ICT systems have been deployed across different departments to support core functions such as revenue collection, financial management, procurement, human resource management, records management, and communication. These systems have improved

efficiency in specific operational areas and contributed to better service delivery outcomes in certain departments.

However, the overall ICT environment remains fragmented. Most systems have been implemented in isolation, without a common architecture or interoperability framework. As a result, data sharing across departments is limited, and information silos persist. This fragmentation reduces the ability of the County to generate consolidated reports, conduct data-driven decision-making, and provide integrated services to citizens.

Additionally, disparities exist in ICT adoption across departments and geographic locations. While some urban offices benefit from relatively advanced ICT infrastructure, many rural and remote areas continue to experience limited access to digital tools and connectivity. This digital divide affects both service delivery and internal administrative efficiency.

DRAFT

2.3 ICT Infrastructure and Connectivity

2.3.1 ICT Infrastructure

The ICT infrastructure within the County comprises hardware, software, network equipment, data centers, and end-user devices. While investments have been made over the years, the overall infrastructure remains uneven and, in some cases, inadequate to support modern digital services.

Key challenges include:

- Aging hardware that is costly to maintain and prone to failure
- Inadequate data storage and backup facilities
- Limited redundancy to support business continuity
- Lack of standardized ICT equipment across departments

These challenges compromise system reliability and expose the County to operational risks, including system downtime and data loss.

Furthermore, the absence of centralized infrastructure planning has resulted in duplication of systems and inefficient use of resources. Without a unified ICT architecture, it becomes difficult to scale services or integrate new technologies.

2.3.2 Connectivity and Network Access

Reliable connectivity is a critical enabler of digital service delivery. While urban areas in Laikipia County generally enjoy better internet access, many rural and remote areas face limited or unreliable connectivity.

Key connectivity challenges include:

- Inadequate broadband infrastructure in remote locations
- High costs associated with internet access
- Limited redundancy and backup connectivity options
- Inconsistent network performance affecting system availability

These connectivity challenges limit the ability of county staff to access online systems, hinder communication between departments, and restrict citizens' access to digital services. Improving connectivity is therefore essential to achieving equitable service delivery across the County.

DRAFT

2.4 ICT Systems and Applications

The County currently utilizes a variety of ICT systems to support core administrative and service delivery functions. These include financial management systems, human resource information systems, revenue collection platforms, document management systems, and communication tools.

While these systems have improved efficiency in specific areas, they often operate in isolation, lacking interoperability and integration. This results in fragmented data, duplicated processes, and limited analytical capability.

Additional challenges include:

- Inconsistent data standards and formats
- Limited system integration and interoperability
- Inadequate user training and support
- Lack of centralized system oversight

The absence of an integrated enterprise architecture limits the County's ability to leverage data for strategic planning, performance management, and evidence-based decision-making.

2.5 Human Resource Capacity and Skills

Human capital plays a critical role in the effective implementation and sustainability of ICT systems. The County faces significant challenges in attracting, retaining, and developing skilled ICT personnel.

Key issues include:

- Shortage of specialized ICT professionals such as system administrators, cybersecurity experts, and data analysts
- Limited opportunities for continuous professional development
- Inadequate ICT literacy among non-technical staff
- Overreliance on external consultants for system maintenance and support

These challenges reduce institutional capacity to manage complex ICT systems, respond to emerging threats, and innovate in service delivery. Strengthening human resource capacity is therefore essential for sustainable ICT development.

DRAFT

2.6 Institutional and Governance Framework

The governance of ICT within the County is characterized by fragmented responsibilities and limited coordination among departments. Although an ICT Directorate exists, its mandate and authority are often constrained by overlapping roles and insufficient enforcement mechanisms.

Key governance challenges include:

- Lack of clearly defined ICT governance structures
- Weak coordination between ICT units and service departments
- Limited oversight and accountability mechanisms
- Inconsistent enforcement of ICT standards and policies

These weaknesses undermine the effectiveness of ICT initiatives and reduce the ability of the County to plan and implement cohesive digital strategies.

DRAFT

2.7 Legal and Policy Environment

The County operates within a national legal and policy framework that includes the Constitution of Kenya (2010), the Kenya Information and Communications Act, the Data Protection Act, the Computer Misuse and Cybercrimes Act, and other relevant legislation.

While these frameworks provide a solid legal foundation, their implementation at the county level has been uneven. Challenges include limited awareness of legal obligations, inadequate institutional capacity, and absence of localized guidelines to operationalize national laws.

There is therefore a need to align county-level policies, procedures, and practices with national legislation to ensure compliance, consistency, and accountability.

DRAFT

2.8 Key Challenges and Gaps

The situational analysis reveals several cross-cutting challenges that must be addressed:

- Fragmented ICT systems and lack of interoperability
- Inadequate ICT infrastructure and unreliable connectivity
- Limited technical and managerial capacity
- Weak governance and coordination mechanisms
- Insufficient cybersecurity and data protection measures
- Inadequate funding and sustainability planning
- Limited monitoring, evaluation, and performance tracking

These challenges collectively hinder the County's ability to fully leverage ICT for development and service delivery.

DRAFT

2.9 Implications for Policy Intervention

The issues identified in this chapter highlight the urgent need for a comprehensive, coherent, and forward-looking ICT policy. Such a policy must address structural weaknesses, strengthen governance, enhance capacity, and promote sustainable and inclusive digital transformation.

A well-designed ICT policy will enable the County Government of Laikipia to:

- Harmonize ICT initiatives across departments
- Improve efficiency and accountability in service delivery
- Strengthen data-driven decision-making
- Enhance transparency and public trust
- Support long-term socio-economic development

The findings of this situational analysis therefore provide the foundation upon which the subsequent policy chapters are developed, ensuring that proposed interventions are responsive, practical, and aligned with the County's development aspirations.

DRAFT

CHAPTER THREE: PUBLIC POLICY STATEMENTS

3.1 Introduction

This chapter sets out the policy statements that guide the strategic direction of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) development and management within the County Government of Laikipia. These policy statements translate the challenges and gaps identified in the situational analysis into concrete commitments and actions that the County Government will undertake to strengthen governance, enhance service delivery, and promote sustainable socio-economic development.

The policy statements articulate the Government's vision for a modern, efficient, and citizen-centered ICT environment. They define the principles, priorities, and approaches that will guide the planning, implementation, and management of ICT initiatives across all sectors. By establishing clear policy directions, the County aims to ensure consistency, accountability, and coherence in the deployment of ICT resources.

Each policy statement is accompanied by a clear rationale, specific policy actions, and expected outcomes. Together, they form a comprehensive framework for transforming ICT into a strategic enabler of development, transparency, and good governance in Laikipia County.

3.2 Policy Statement 1: Strengthening ICT Governance and Leadership

Policy Statement

The CGL shall establish strong, coordinated, and accountable ICT governance structures to ensure effective oversight, strategic direction, and management of ICT resources.

Policy Rationale

Effective ICT governance is fundamental to ensuring that technology investments deliver value, support organizational objectives, and align with public sector priorities. Weak governance structures often lead to duplication of systems, inefficient resource utilization, lack of accountability, and poor service outcomes.

In the absence of clear governance mechanisms, ICT initiatives may be driven by short-term needs rather than long-term strategic goals. This can result in fragmented systems, inconsistent standards, and poor integration across departments. Strengthening ICT governance is therefore essential to ensure coherence, transparency, and sustainability in the management of ICT resources.

Strong governance also enhances accountability by clearly defining roles and responsibilities, establishing oversight mechanisms, and ensuring compliance with legal and policy requirements.

Policy Actions

- Establish a County ICT Steering Committee responsible for providing strategic oversight, policy direction, and coordination of ICT initiatives.
- Clearly define the roles and responsibilities of the ICT Directorate, departmental ICT units, and other stakeholders.
- Develop and enforce ICT governance frameworks, policies, and standard operating procedures.
- Integrate ICT planning into the County's annual and medium-term planning and budgeting processes.
- Strengthen monitoring and oversight mechanisms to ensure accountability and value for money.

Expected Outcomes

- Improved coordination and coherence in ICT planning and implementation
- Reduced duplication and fragmentation of ICT systems
- Enhanced transparency, accountability, and governance effectiveness

DRAFT

3.3 Policy Statement 2: Development of ICT Infrastructure and Connectivity

Policy Statement

The County Government shall invest in reliable, secure, and scalable ICT infrastructure to support efficient service delivery and digital transformation across all sectors.

Policy Rationale

Robust ICT infrastructure forms the foundation for digital government. Without reliable networks, hardware, and data centers, digital services cannot function effectively. Many areas within the County continue to experience limited connectivity, inadequate bandwidth, and outdated equipment, constraining the adoption of modern digital solutions.

Investing in modern, resilient ICT infrastructure is essential to improve service delivery, enhance productivity, and bridge the digital divide between urban and rural areas.

Policy Actions

- Upgrade and standardize ICT infrastructure across all departments and facilities.
- Expand network connectivity to underserved and remote areas.
- Establish shared infrastructure such as centralized data centers and cloud platforms.
- Implement redundancy and backup systems to ensure business continuity.
- Promote the use of energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable ICT solutions.

Expected Outcomes

- Improved reliability and availability of ICT services
- Enhanced access to digital services across the County
- Reduced system downtime and operational disruptions

3.4 Policy Statement 3: Digital Service Delivery and Innovation

Policy Statement

The County Government shall promote digital transformation to enhance accessibility, efficiency, transparency, and citizen satisfaction in public service delivery.

Policy Rationale

Digital service delivery enables governments to provide faster, more convenient, and cost-effective services to citizens and businesses. By embracing digital technologies, the County can reduce administrative bottlenecks, improve service quality, and foster citizen trust.

Innovation is essential for addressing complex service delivery challenges and responding to changing citizen expectations. Digital platforms enable real-time service delivery, data-driven decision-making, and improved citizen engagement.

Policy Actions

- Digitize priority services such as licensing, permits, payments, and records management.
- Integrate digital platforms to enable data sharing and interoperability.
- Promote user-centered design to ensure accessibility and inclusivity.
- Encourage innovation through pilot projects, innovation hubs, and partnerships.
- Leverage emerging technologies such as mobile platforms, cloud computing, and data analytics.

Expected Outcomes

- Increased efficiency and transparency in service delivery
- Improved citizen satisfaction and trust in government
- Enhanced responsiveness to community needs

3.5 Policy Statement 4: Information Security and Data Protection

Policy Statement

The County Government shall safeguard all information assets through robust cybersecurity and data protection measures.

Policy Rationale

As digital systems become central to government operations, the risk of cyber threats, data breaches, and system disruptions increases. Protecting sensitive information is essential for maintaining public trust, complying with legal requirements, and ensuring continuity of services.

Policy Actions

- Develop and enforce comprehensive data protection and cybersecurity policies.
- Implement technical controls such as encryption, access controls, and intrusion detection systems.
- Establish incident response and disaster recovery mechanisms.
- Conduct regular security audits and vulnerability assessments.
- Promote cybersecurity awareness and training among staff.

Expected Outcomes

- Reduced exposure to cyber risks and data breaches
- Enhanced protection of personal and sensitive information
- Improved resilience of ICT systems

3.6 Policy Statement 5: Capacity Building and Change Management

Policy Statement

The County Government shall strengthen institutional and human capacity to support effective ICT implementation and digital transformation.

Policy Rationale

Human capacity is a critical determinant of successful ICT adoption. Without skilled personnel and a supportive organizational culture, investments in technology cannot achieve their intended impact.

Policy Actions

- Conduct regular ICT skills assessments and capacity-building programs.
- Support professional development, certification, and continuous learning.
- Promote change management initiatives to address resistance and enhance adoption.
- Encourage innovation and knowledge sharing across departments.

Expected Outcomes

- Improved technical competence and confidence among staff
- Increased adoption and effective use of ICT systems
- Sustainable institutional capacity for digital transformation

3.7 Policy Statement 6: Financing and Sustainability of ICT Initiatives

Policy Statement

The County Government shall ensure sustainable financing and prudent management of ICT resources to support long-term digital transformation.

Policy Rationale

Sustainable financing is essential to maintain, upgrade, and expand ICT infrastructure and services. Without reliable funding mechanisms, ICT initiatives risk stagnation or failure.

Policy Actions

- Allocate adequate budgetary resources for ICT development and maintenance.
- Explore alternative financing mechanisms such as public-private partnerships and donor funding.
- Strengthen financial planning, procurement, and expenditure controls.
- Integrate ICT costs into medium- and long-term budgeting frameworks.

Expected Outcomes

- Predictable and sustainable financing for ICT initiatives
- Improved cost-efficiency and accountability
- Long-term viability of digital investments

CHAPTER FOUR: IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK

4.1 Introduction

This chapter outlines the institutional, administrative, legal, and operational mechanisms that will guide the effective implementation of the ICT Policy of the County Government of Laikipia. The successful realization of the objectives outlined in this policy depends on the establishment of clear institutional arrangements, well-defined roles and responsibilities, effective coordination mechanisms, and adequate allocation of financial and human resources.

The implementation framework provides a structured and systematic approach to translating policy commitments into actionable programs and activities. It emphasizes coordination across departments, accountability in decision-making, and alignment with national development frameworks. Through this framework, the County Government seeks to ensure that ICT initiatives are implemented in a coherent, sustainable, and results-oriented manner.

Effective implementation of this policy requires strong leadership, stakeholder collaboration, continuous monitoring, and adaptive management. This chapter therefore sets out the mechanisms through which the County Government will operationalize the policy and ensure that ICT investments contribute meaningfully to improved service delivery and socio-economic development.

4.2 Institutional Arrangements for Policy Implementation

4.2.1 Overall Governance Structure

The overall responsibility for the implementation of this ICT Policy shall rest with the County Executive Committee (CEC). The CEC shall provide strategic leadership, policy direction, and oversight to ensure that all ICT initiatives align with the County's development priorities and governance frameworks.

The County Executive Committee shall ensure that ICT is mainstreamed into county planning, budgeting, and performance management processes. This includes integrating ICT considerations into the County Integrated Development Plan (CIDP), Annual Development Plans (ADPs), and departmental work plans.

The CEC shall also facilitate interdepartmental coordination to avoid duplication of efforts and ensure optimal utilization of resources. Through regular review meetings and reporting mechanisms, the CEC shall monitor progress, address emerging challenges, and provide strategic guidance on ICT implementation.

4.2.2 Role of the County ICT Directorate

The County ICT Directorate shall serve as the central technical and coordinating body for all ICT-related matters within the County Government. The Directorate shall be responsible for translating policy objectives into actionable programs and ensuring consistent implementation across departments.

Key responsibilities of the ICT Directorate shall include:

- Developing and maintaining county-wide ICT strategies, standards, and technical guidelines.
- Coordinating the planning, implementation, and monitoring of ICT projects across departments.
- Managing and maintaining shared ICT infrastructure, systems, and platforms.

- Providing technical advisory services and support to departments and agencies.
- Ensuring compliance with ICT policies, standards, and legal requirements.
- Monitoring ICT performance and preparing periodic implementation reports.

The ICT Directorate shall work closely with departmental ICT units to ensure alignment, reduce duplication, and promote efficiency in the deployment of ICT resources.

4.2.3 Role of County Departments and Agencies

County departments, agencies, and semi-autonomous entities play a critical role in implementing this policy. Each department shall integrate ICT considerations into its planning, budgeting, and operational processes.

Key responsibilities include:

- Designating ICT focal persons to coordinate ICT-related activities.
- Identifying ICT needs and priorities aligned with departmental mandates.
- Allocating adequate resources for ICT implementation and maintenance.
- Ensuring compliance with ICT standards, guidelines, and security protocols.
- Participating in monitoring, evaluation, and reporting processes.

Departments shall work collaboratively with the ICT Directorate to ensure that ICT initiatives are aligned with overall county objectives and that systems are interoperable and scalable.

4.2.4 Role of the County Assembly

The County Assembly plays a critical oversight and legislative role in the implementation of this policy. Its responsibilities include:

- Reviewing and approving ICT-related policies, legislation, and budgets.
- Exercising oversight over the implementation of ICT programs and projects.
- Ensuring accountability and transparency in the use of public resources.
- Supporting legislative reforms necessary to enhance ICT governance.

Through its oversight function, the County Assembly helps ensure that ICT initiatives deliver value for money and contribute to improved service delivery.

4.2.5 Role of Development Partners and the Private Sector

Development partners, private sector entities, and civil society organizations play a complementary role in supporting ICT development in the County. Their involvement is critical in areas such as financing, innovation, capacity building, and technology transfer.

Key areas of collaboration include:

- Technical assistance and capacity development programs.
- Co-financing of ICT infrastructure and digital services.
- Public-private partnerships (PPPs) for technology deployment.
- Knowledge sharing and innovation support.

All partnerships shall be governed by clear agreements that define roles, responsibilities, accountability mechanisms, and alignment with county priorities.

4.3 Legal and Regulatory Framework

The implementation of this policy shall be guided by relevant national and county legislation, policies, and regulations. Key legal instruments include:

- The Constitution of Kenya, 2010
- The County Governments Act, 2012
- The Public Finance Management Act, 2012
- The Kenya Information and Communications Act
- The Data Protection Act, 2019
- The Computer Misuse and Cybercrimes Act

The County Government shall ensure that all ICT initiatives comply with these laws and that appropriate regulatory mechanisms are in place to support implementation.

Where necessary, the County shall develop subsidiary legislation, guidelines, and standard operating procedures to operationalize this policy and address emerging issues.

DRAFT

4.4 Resource Mobilization and Financing

4.4.1 Budgetary Allocations

The County Government shall allocate adequate financial resources for ICT initiatives through the annual budgeting process and the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF). ICT investments shall be prioritized as strategic enablers of service delivery rather than treated as routine operational costs.

Budget allocations shall cover infrastructure development, system maintenance, capacity building, cybersecurity, and innovation initiatives.

4.4.2 Alternative Financing Mechanisms

To complement public funding, the County shall explore alternative financing options, including:

- Public–Private Partnerships (PPPs)
- Development partner grants and technical assistance
- Donor-funded pilot projects
- Cost-sharing arrangements with beneficiaries

These mechanisms will help mobilize additional resources while ensuring sustainability and value for money.

4.5 Capacity Building and Change Management

Effective implementation of the ICT policy requires continuous investment in human capital and organizational change management.

The County shall:

- Conduct regular ICT skills assessments to identify training needs.
- Implement structured capacity-building programs for technical and non-technical staff.
- Promote professional certification and continuous learning.
- Implement change management strategies to support adoption of new systems.
- Encourage innovation, knowledge sharing, and best practices.

These measures will enhance institutional readiness and ensure that ICT investments translate into tangible improvements in service delivery.

DRAFT

4.6 Risk Management and Business Continuity

The County shall establish comprehensive risk management frameworks to identify, assess, and mitigate risks associated with ICT operations. This includes:

- Development of business continuity and disaster recovery plans.
- Implementation of cybersecurity risk management frameworks.
- Regular testing of backup and recovery systems.
- Continuous monitoring of ICT risks and vulnerabilities.

Effective risk management will ensure continuity of critical services, protect sensitive information, and enhance resilience against disruptions.

DRAFT

4.7 Coordination, Communication, and Reporting

Effective coordination and communication are essential for successful implementation of the ICT policy. The County shall:

- Establish clear reporting and communication channels across departments.
- Require periodic progress reports on ICT initiatives.
- Facilitate information sharing and collaboration among stakeholders.
- Use monitoring and evaluation findings to inform decision-making and continuous improvement.

Regular reporting and feedback mechanisms will promote transparency, accountability, and continuous learning throughout the policy implementation process.

DRAFT

4.8 Implementation Timeline

Implementation of this policy shall be phased to ensure manageable execution and efficient use of resources. Short-term, medium-term, and long-term actions shall be defined in implementation plans aligned with the County's planning and budgeting cycles.

This phased approach will allow for flexibility, learning, and adjustment as implementation progresses, ensuring sustainable and effective outcomes.

DRAFT

CHAPTER FIVE: MONITORING AND EVALUATION

5.1 Introduction

Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) is a critical pillar in the successful implementation of the ICT Policy of the CGL. It provides a systematic and evidence-based approach for assessing the progress, effectiveness, efficiency, and overall impact of policy interventions. Through continuous monitoring and periodic evaluation, the County is able to determine whether ICT initiatives are achieving intended outcomes, delivering value for money, and contributing to improved governance and service delivery.

The purpose of this chapter is to outline the framework, mechanisms, and institutional arrangements that will guide monitoring and evaluation activities throughout the policy implementation period. A robust M&E system ensures accountability, transparency, and learning, while enabling timely corrective actions where performance gaps are identified.

Effective monitoring and evaluation are essential in an environment where technological change is rapid and public expectations are continually evolving. The framework described in this chapter is designed to be adaptive, evidence-driven, and aligned with national development priorities, thereby supporting informed decision-making and sustainable digital transformation.

5.2 Objectives of Monitoring and Evaluation

The overarching objective of the M & E framework is to ensure that the ICT policy is implemented effectively, efficiently, and in alignment with its stated goals. Specifically, the M&E framework seeks to achieve the following objectives:

1. **To track progress** in the implementation of ICT initiatives across all county departments and institutions.
2. **To assess effectiveness** of ICT interventions in improving service delivery, operational efficiency, and governance outcomes.
3. **To promote accountability and transparency** in the use of public resources allocated to ICT development.
4. **To identify implementation challenges and risks** early and recommend corrective actions.
5. **To support evidence-based decision-making** through timely and accurate performance data.
6. **To promote organizational learning and continuous improvement** in ICT planning and implementation.

Through these objectives, the M&E framework ensures that ICT investments contribute meaningfully to the County's development priorities and deliver measurable benefits to citizens.

5.3 Monitoring Framework

5.3.1 Monitoring Indicators

Monitoring will be conducted using a comprehensive set of indicators designed to measure inputs, outputs, outcomes, and impacts of ICT initiatives. These indicators will be aligned with the policy objectives and shall be reviewed periodically to ensure relevance.

Key monitoring indicators shall include, but not be limited to:

- Level of ICT infrastructure coverage across county departments and facilities
- Percentage of county services digitized and accessible online
- System uptime, availability, and performance metrics
- Number of staff trained in ICT and digital skills
- Compliance levels with cybersecurity and data protection requirements
- User satisfaction levels with digital services
- Rate of system adoption and utilization

These indicators will be disaggregated where appropriate for example by department, location, or service type to allow for detailed analysis and targeted interventions.

5.3.2 Data Collection and Reporting Mechanisms

Accurate and timely data collection is fundamental to effective monitoring. Data shall be collected through multiple complementary mechanisms, including:

- Routine departmental performance reports
- ICT system usage logs and dashboards
- Surveys, feedback tools, and user satisfaction assessments
- Internal and external audit reports
- Field monitoring visits and inspections

Each department shall designate focal persons responsible for collecting, validating, and submitting data to the ICT Directorate according to established reporting schedules. The ICT

Directorate shall consolidate and analyze data, prepare periodic performance reports, and disseminate findings to relevant stakeholders.

To enhance data quality, standardized data collection tools and reporting templates shall be developed and used consistently across departments.

DRAFT

5.4 Evaluation Framework

5.4.1 Types of Evaluation

Evaluation activities shall be conducted at different stages of policy implementation to assess relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, impact, and sustainability.

Formative Evaluations

These shall be conducted during implementation to assess progress, identify challenges, and recommend corrective actions. Formative evaluations support adaptive management and continuous improvement.

Mid-Term Evaluations

Mid-term evaluations shall assess progress toward policy objectives, examine implementation effectiveness, and determine whether adjustments are required to achieve desired outcomes.

Summative Evaluations

Summative evaluations shall be conducted at the end of the policy cycle to assess overall performance, impact, and sustainability. Findings shall inform future policy formulation and strategic planning.

5.4.2 Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation of the ICT policy shall be guided by internationally recognized criteria, including:

- **Relevance:** The extent to which the policy addresses current and emerging needs of the County.
- **Effectiveness:** The degree to which planned objectives and outcomes have been achieved.
- **Efficiency:** The extent to which resources have been used economically and optimally.
- **Impact:** The broader effects of the policy on service delivery, governance, and socio-economic development.
- **Sustainability:** The likelihood that benefits will continue beyond the policy implementation period.

These criteria ensure a comprehensive assessment of both performance and long-term value.

DRAFT

5.5 Roles and Responsibilities in Monitoring and Evaluation

5.5.1 County Executive Committee

The County Executive Committee (CEC) shall provide strategic oversight for monitoring and evaluation activities. It shall review M&E reports, provide policy direction, and ensure that findings are integrated into planning and budgeting processes.

5.5.2 ICT Directorate

The ICT Directorate shall serve as the technical lead for M&E activities. Its responsibilities shall include:

- Coordinating data collection and analysis
- Preparing periodic monitoring and evaluation reports
- Providing technical guidance to departments
- Ensuring data quality and integrity
- Facilitating learning and knowledge sharing

5.5.3 County Departments and Agencies

Departments shall actively participate in M&E processes by collecting relevant data, submitting timely reports, and implementing recommended actions. Departmental heads shall be accountable for performance within their respective areas.

5.5.4 External Stakeholders

Where appropriate, independent evaluators, development partners, and oversight institutions may be engaged to provide objective assessments and technical expertise. Their involvement enhances credibility, transparency, and accountability.

5.6 Reporting and Feedback Mechanisms

Effective reporting and feedback mechanisms are essential for ensuring that M&E findings inform decision-making and continuous improvement. The County shall establish structured reporting channels, including:

- Quarterly and annual performance reports
- Management review and planning meetings
- Stakeholder consultations and dissemination forums
- Public disclosure through reports, websites, and other communication platforms

Feedback from these processes shall be systematically reviewed and used to inform corrective actions, policy adjustments, and future planning.

DRAFT

5.7 Learning and Continuous Improvement

The County Government is committed to fostering a culture of learning and continuous improvement. Lessons learned from monitoring and evaluation activities shall be documented, shared, and used to enhance future policy formulation and implementation.

Mechanisms for institutional learning shall include:

- Knowledge-sharing forums and workshops
- Documentation of best practices and lessons learned
- Integration of learning outcomes into training and capacity-building programs

Through continuous learning, the County will strengthen its ability to adapt to changing technological environments and improve the effectiveness of its ICT initiatives.

DRAFT

CHAPTER SIX: POLICY REVIEW

6.1 Introduction

This chapter outlines the framework for reviewing the ICT Policy of the County Government of Laikipia. Given the dynamic nature of technological advancement, evolving governance models, and increasing public demand for efficient and transparent services, it is imperative that this policy remains current, responsive, and aligned with both national and global developments.

Policy review is a critical governance function that enables the County to assess the relevance, effectiveness, and sustainability of its ICT interventions over time. Through systematic review processes, the County can identify emerging challenges, evaluate the impact of existing strategies, and make informed decisions on necessary policy adjustments.

The review framework presented in this chapter ensures that ICT policy implementation remains adaptive to changing circumstances, technological innovations, and emerging risks. It also provides a mechanism for accountability, learning, and continuous improvement, thereby strengthening the County's overall governance and service delivery framework.

6.2 Rationale for Policy Review

The dynamic nature of the ICT sector necessitates periodic review of policies to ensure continued relevance and effectiveness. Rapid technological advancements, evolving cybersecurity threats, changing citizen expectations, and shifts in national and global regulatory environments make it essential for the CGL to regularly reassess its ICT policy.

One of the key reasons for policy review is the pace of technological change. Innovations such as cloud computing, artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and mobile technologies continue to transform how governments operate and deliver services. Without periodic review, existing policies may become outdated and unable to support modern service delivery models.

Another important driver for policy review is the need to address emerging risks. Cyber threats, data breaches, and system vulnerabilities continue to increase in frequency and sophistication. Regular policy review ensures that appropriate safeguards, standards, and response mechanisms are in place to protect government systems and citizen data.

Furthermore, the policy review process allows the County to assess the effectiveness of past interventions. By examining what has worked well and what has not, the County can refine its strategies, reallocate resources more effectively, and strengthen institutional capacity.

Policy review also ensures alignment with national development priorities, legislative changes, and international best practices. As national laws and policies evolve, county-level policies must be updated accordingly to maintain compliance and coherence.

6.3 Policy Review Cycle

The CGL shall adopt a structured and cyclical approach to policy review to ensure continuity, accountability, and relevance.

6.3.1 Review Frequency

The ICT Policy shall be reviewed every five (5) years from the date of adoption. However, the policy may be reviewed earlier under specific circumstances, including:

- Significant changes in national ICT legislation or regulatory frameworks
- Introduction of new technologies with substantial impact on service delivery
- Major institutional or administrative reforms within the County Government
- Findings from monitoring and evaluation exercises indicating significant gaps
- Emerging risks or crises that necessitate policy adjustment

This flexible approach ensures that the policy remains responsive to evolving conditions.

DRAFT

6.4 Policy Review Process

The policy review process shall follow a structured, participatory, and transparent approach, comprising several interrelated stages.

6.4.1 Initiation of the Review Process

The review process shall be initiated by the CEC through a formal directive. This directive shall outline the scope, objectives, and timelines for the review and establish a multi-sectoral review task force.

The task force shall include representatives from relevant county departments, the ICT Directorate, legal and policy units, and other key stakeholders. Its mandate shall be to coordinate the review process and ensure adherence to established procedures.

6.4.2 Stakeholder Consultation and Engagement

Meaningful stakeholder engagement is central to an effective policy review. The review process shall include broad consultations with:

- County departments and agencies
- Members of the County Assembly
- Development partners and donors
- Private sector and technology providers
- Civil society organizations
- Academic and research institutions
- Community representatives and service users

These consultations shall be conducted through workshops, focus group discussions, surveys, and public forums. The aim is to gather diverse perspectives, identify emerging issues, and build consensus around proposed policy revisions.

6.4.3 Assessment and Analysis

A comprehensive assessment of the existing ICT policy shall be undertaken to evaluate its performance and relevance. This assessment shall focus on:

- Achievement of policy objectives and intended outcomes
- Effectiveness and efficiency of implementation mechanisms
- Institutional capacity and coordination arrangements
- Financial sustainability and resource utilization
- Compliance with legal and regulatory requirements
- Alignment with national and international best practices

The assessment shall also identify lessons learned, good practices, and areas requiring improvement.

6.4.4 Drafting and Validation of Revised Policy

Based on the findings of the assessment and stakeholder consultations, a revised policy draft shall be developed. The draft shall incorporate recommended changes, updated strategies, and new policy directions.

The draft policy shall undergo technical validation by subject matter experts and be subjected to stakeholder review to ensure accuracy, clarity, and relevance. Feedback from these processes shall be incorporated into the final version of the policy.

6.4.5 Approval and Adoption

Once validated, the revised policy shall be submitted for approval through the appropriate governance structures, including the County Executive Committee and, where required, the County Assembly.

Following approval, the policy shall be formally adopted and disseminated to all relevant stakeholders for implementation.

6.5 Implementation of Review Outcomes

Upon completion of the review process, the County shall take deliberate steps to implement the recommendations and changes arising from the review. This includes:

- Updating implementation plans and operational guidelines
- Revising institutional arrangements and roles where necessary
- Aligning budgets and resource allocations with revised priorities
- Strengthening capacity-building initiatives to support new policy directions

Implementation of review outcomes shall be closely monitored to ensure that changes translate into tangible improvements in ICT governance and service delivery.

DRAFT

6.6 Monitoring of Policy Review Outcomes

Monitoring mechanisms shall be established to track the implementation of recommendations arising from the policy review. These mechanisms will include performance indicators, progress reports, and periodic assessments.

The ICT Directorate shall be responsible for coordinating monitoring activities and reporting progress to senior management and relevant oversight bodies. Monitoring findings shall inform continuous improvement and future policy revisions.

DRAFT

6.7 Learning and Continuous Improvement

The County Government recognizes that effective ICT governance requires continuous learning and adaptation. Lessons learned from policy implementation and review processes shall be documented and shared across departments to promote institutional learning.

Knowledge management platforms, learning forums, and capacity-building initiatives shall be used to disseminate best practices and innovations. This culture of continuous learning will enhance the County's ability to respond proactively to technological change and emerging challenges.

DRAFT

ANNEXES

ANNEX I: IMPLEMENTATION MATRIX

The Implementation Matrix provides a structured framework that translates policy commitments into actionable activities. It identifies responsible institutions, timelines, and performance indicators to ensure accountability, coordination, and measurable progress. This matrix serves as a practical tool for planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of ICT initiatives across the County Government of Laikipia.

The implementation matrix is designed to be dynamic and shall be reviewed periodically to reflect emerging priorities, technological developments, and institutional changes. It ensures that all stakeholders clearly understand their roles and responsibilities in the execution of the ICT Policy.

Key Implementation Areas

1. ICT Governance

Effective governance is central to the success of ICT initiatives. The establishment of strong governance structures ensures alignment with county development priorities, promotes accountability, and minimizes duplication of efforts.

Key Actions:

- Establishment of a County ICT Steering Committee.
- Development and enforcement of ICT governance frameworks.
- Regular review of ICT policies and standards.

Responsible Institutions:

County Executive Committee, ICT Directorate

Expected Outcomes:

- Coordinated ICT decision-making
- Clear accountability mechanisms

- Improved alignment between ICT investments and development priorities

2. ICT Infrastructure Development

Robust ICT infrastructure is fundamental to digital service delivery. The County shall invest in modern, scalable, and secure infrastructure to support current and future needs.

Key Actions:

- Upgrade network infrastructure across county departments.
- Establish shared data centers and cloud-based systems.
- Improve internet connectivity in underserved areas.
- Implement redundancy and backup systems.

Responsible Institutions:

ICT Directorate, Department of Finance

Expected Outcomes:

- Improved system reliability and availability
- Reduced downtime and service interruptions
- Enhanced access to digital services across the county

3. Digital Service Delivery

The County shall prioritize the digitization of public services to improve accessibility, efficiency, and transparency.

Key Actions:

- Digitize priority services such as licensing, permits, and revenue collection.
- Integrate digital platforms for seamless service delivery.
- Develop user-friendly portals and mobile applications.

Responsible Institutions:

ICT Directorate, Line Departments

Expected Outcomes:

- Faster service delivery
- Reduced operational costs
- Improved citizen satisfaction

4. Cybersecurity and Data Protection

Protecting government information systems is critical to maintaining trust and service continuity.

Key Actions:

- Develop and implement cybersecurity policies and standards.
- Conduct regular vulnerability assessments and penetration testing.
- Establish incident response and disaster recovery mechanisms.
- Promote cybersecurity awareness among staff.

Responsible Institutions:

ICT Directorate, Security Units

Expected Outcomes:

- Reduced cyber risks and incidents
- Enhanced protection of sensitive data
- Improved system resilience

5. Capacity Building and Human Resource Development

Human capital is essential for successful ICT implementation. Continuous skills development ensures that staff can effectively manage and utilize digital tools.

Key Actions:

- Conduct regular ICT skills assessments.
- Implement training and certification programs.
- Encourage knowledge sharing and mentoring.
- Support professional development initiatives.

Responsible Institutions:

Human Resource Department, ICT Directorate

Expected Outcomes:

- Enhanced technical competence
- Improved staff productivity
- Sustainable ICT operations

6. Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning

Monitoring and evaluation provide a mechanism for tracking progress and improving performance.

Key Actions:

- Develop and maintain performance indicators.
- Conduct regular monitoring and evaluation exercises.
- Produce periodic progress and performance reports.
- Integrate lessons learned into future planning.

Responsible Institutions:

ICT Directorate, Monitoring and Evaluation Units

Expected Outcomes:

- Improved accountability and transparency
- Evidence-based decision-making
- Continuous improvement in ICT initiatives

ANNEX II: INSTITUTIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

This annex outlines the roles and responsibilities of key institutions involved in the implementation of the ICT Policy.

County Executive Committee

- Provide strategic leadership and policy direction.
- Approve ICT policies, strategies, and budgets.
- Ensure alignment with county development objectives.

County ICT Directorate

- Lead ICT planning, implementation, and coordination.
- Develop standards, guidelines, and operational procedures.
- Monitor performance and ensure compliance with policies.

County Departments and Agencies

- Integrate ICT into departmental operations.
- Allocate resources for ICT activities.
- Provide data and reports for monitoring and evaluation.

County Assembly

- Provide legislative oversight.
- Review and approve ICT-related policies and budgets.
- Ensure accountability in ICT investments.

Development Partners and Private Sector

- Provide financial, technical, and advisory support.
- Collaborate on innovation and capacity-building initiatives.
- Support public–private partnerships for ICT development.

ANNEX III: MONITORING AND EVALUATION FRAMEWORK

The Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Framework provides a structured approach to assessing the performance and impact of ICT initiatives.

Key Performance Areas and Indicators

Objective	Indicator	Data Source	Frequency	Responsible Unit
Improve ICT governance	Governance structures established	Administrative records	Annual	ICT Directorate
Enhance service delivery	Percentage of services digitized	System data	Quarterly	Line Departments
Strengthen cybersecurity	Number of incidents resolved	Security logs	Quarterly	ICT Directorate
Build capacity	Number of staff trained	Training records	Annual	HR Department
Improve user satisfaction	User satisfaction index	Surveys	Annual	ICT Directorate

ANNEX IV: GLOSSARY OF TERMS

ICT (Information and Communication Technology):

Technologies used to create, process, store, secure, and exchange information.

ICT Governance:

Frameworks and processes that ensure ICT supports and extends organizational strategies and objectives.

Digital Transformation:

The integration of digital technologies into all areas of an organization, fundamentally changing how it operates and delivers value.

Cybersecurity:

Practices and technologies designed to protect systems, networks, and data from cyber threats.

Interoperability:

The ability of different information systems to communicate, exchange data, and use the information exchanged.

Data Protection:

Measures taken to safeguard personal and sensitive information from unauthorized access, misuse, or disclosure.

DRAFT